

# Passive Anisotropic Propulsion (PAP): Extending the Capabilities of Capillary Fluid Management in Aerospace and Industry

---

N5Digital, Inc.  
Lev Neymotin, PhD

## Executive Summary

Passive Anisotropic Propulsion (PAP) is a method for directional liquid transport that combines:

- 1) Anisotropic conduit mechanics (a spatial gradient in opening/closing pressure),
- 2) One-way microvalving (flaplets/check elements) to suppress recoil, and
- 3) Tiny oscillations (vibration, acceleration cycles, thermal expansion) that are rectified into net forward flow.

Unlike NASA/ESA capillary PMDs (optimized to keep intakes wet), PAP can deliver bulk transport along lines without pumps or high-pressure pressurization. Calculations herein show that centimeter-scale conduits with realistic material properties can achieve 0.2–1.0 mL/min at oscillations as small as  $\pm 2$ –3 cmH<sub>2</sub>O and 1–3 Hz, with rectification ratios  $\geq 5:1$  (forward vs reversed).

PAP does not displace PMDs at their core function (short-range liquid acquisition)—there, PMDs remain optimal. PAP extends capability to long-line transport, cryogenic regimes, and environments where pumps/pressurants are undesirable.

## 1 Technical Background

### 1.1 Capillary PMDs (what they do well)

- Passive, surface-tension devices (vanes/sponges/galleries) that hold liquid at tank outlets in microgravity.
- Proven, reliable, minimal risk.
- Limitation: Do not propel fluid over long tubing; spacecraft rely on helium pressurization or pumps.

### 1.2 PAP (what it adds)

- Structure: collapsible tube + anisotropic sleeve (higher hoop stiffness proximally, lower distally) + distributed micro-flaplets.
- Mechanism: oscillatory pressure causes distal sections (lower opening pressure) to open first; on the reverse half-cycle, proximal sections collapse first while flaplets shut → net forward stroke.
- Energy: harvested from existing oscillations (or supplied by a tiny diaphragm/piezo actuator).

## 2 Design Specifics (bench-to-field)

- Inner tube: silicone, ID 3.0 mm, wall 0.8 mm.
- Anisotropic sleeve: braided PET/TPU jacket, proximal opening pressure  $\sim 8$ –10 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, distal  $\sim 3$ –4 cm H<sub>2</sub>O.

- Flaplets: PU (25–50  $\mu\text{m}$ ) every 25–30 mm; cracking pressure <1 cmH<sub>2</sub>O.
- Drive: oscillation  $\pm 2$ –5 cmH<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5–5 Hz.
- Targets: mean Q = 0.2–1.0 mL/min; rectification ratio  $\geq 5$ .

### 3 Calculations

#### 3.1 Opening Pressure Gradient:

$$P_{\text{open}} \approx \alpha \cdot \left( E \cdot \frac{t}{r} \right)$$

Example gradient: Zone A  $\sim 9$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O, Zone B  $\sim 6$ , Zone C  $\sim 3.5$ .

#### 3.2 Stroke balance:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V &= V_f - V_b \\ Q &\approx \Delta V \cdot f \end{aligned}$$

Example:  $V_f = 5$ –10  $\mu\text{L}$ ,  $V_b = 1$ –3  $\mu\text{L}$ ,  $f = 2$  Hz  $\rightarrow Q = 0.36$ –1.08 mL/min.

#### 3.3 Viscous pressure drop:

$$\Delta P \approx (128 \mu L Q) / (\pi d^4)$$

Example:  $\mu = 1$  mPa·s,  $L = 0.25$  m,  $d = 3$  mm,  $Q = 0.6$  mL/min  $\rightarrow \Delta P \approx 0.29$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O (tiny).

#### 3.4 Viscosity effect:

If  $\mu = 3$  mPa·s  $\rightarrow \Delta P \approx 0.9$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O  $\rightarrow$  still well within oscillation drive margin.

#### 3.5 Rectification ratio:

$$R = Q_{\text{forward}} / |Q_{\text{reverse}}| \geq 5.$$

#### 3.6 Capillary taper plug:

$$\Delta P_{\text{cap}} \approx 2\gamma \cos\theta (1/r_0 - 1/r_1)$$

Example:  $r_0 = 1.2$  mm,  $r_1 = 1.4$  mm,  $\gamma = 0.072$  N/m,  $\cos\theta = 0.7$   $\rightarrow \Delta P_{\text{cap}} \approx 15$ –20 Pa.

## 4 Comparative Evaluation

### 4.1 PAP vs. Capillary PMDs

| Feature             | Capillary PMDs (NASA/ESA)                                         | Passive Anisotropic Propulsion (PAP)                                            | PAP's Rated Potential (1–10) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Primary function    | Keep thruster intakes wet; prevent gas ingestion in microgravity. | Create net directional transport without pumps or high-pressure pressurization. | 9                            |
| Operating principle | Surface tension (capillary wetting).                              | Anisotropy + oscillations $\rightarrow$ rectified one-way flow.                 | 8                            |
| Performance scope   | Excellent for outlet acquisition only.                            | Bulk transfer tank $\rightarrow$ engine without pressurization.                 | 10                           |

|                                    |                                                                          |                                                                  |     |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Energy source                      | None.                                                                    | Needs oscillations (vibration, maneuvers, actuators).            | 6   |
| Limitations                        | No long-distance transfer; needs helium pressurization; may freeze/clog. | Needs oscillations; lower flow than pumps; unproven in space.    | 7   |
| Use cases                          | Satellite fuel intakes; ISS; heritage systems.                           | Smallsats (no helium), cryogenic depots, passive transfer lines. | 10  |
| Risk profile                       | Very low, space-proven.                                                  | Higher risk; unproven durability/cryogenic.                      | 6   |
| Added value over PMDs              | Only intake stabilization.                                               | Enables bulk transport without pumps.                            | 9   |
| Situations with little added value | Outlet stabilization already optimal.                                    | Adds complexity for short-range cases.                           | 2-3 |

## 5 Broader Industrial Applications

### 5.1 Ranking of PAP Applications by Necessity

| Rank             | Sector / Use Case                                                                           | Why PAP is Critical / Valuable                                                                       | Readiness & Comments                       |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 1 – Critical     | Aerospace / Rockets – Propellant management in microgravity, cryogenic feeds, slosh control | In microgravity, pumps struggle; anisotropy + capillary is one of the only reliable passive methods. | High impact, near-term testable.           |
| 2 – High Value   | Oil & Gas – Subsea flow rectifiers, surge dampers                                           | Maintenance impossible; PAP offers reliability in harsh conditions.                                  | Medium TRL, needs robust coatings.         |
| 3 – High Value   | Mechanical Engineering – Passive lubrication/cooling                                        | Machines vibrate naturally; PAP turns waste vibration into flow.                                     | Feasible in 1-3 years.                     |
| 4 – Medium Value | Chemical / Process – Microreactors, hazardous chemicals                                     | Safer than pumps in explosive environments.                                                          | Short-term feasible with MEMS fabrication. |
| 5 – Medium Value | Energy Systems – Solar thermal, heat pipes, TPV cooling                                     | Harvests daily thermal cycling for passive transport.                                                | Possible now; scaling challenges.          |
| 6 – Convenience+ | Food & Beverage – Pouches, dispensers, fermentation                                         | Could make disposable packaging                                                                      | Easy to test; economics drive adoption.    |

|                 |                                                              |                                                                       |                                                  |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                 |                                                              | cheaper/clog-resistant.                                               |                                                  |
| 7 – Convenience | Consumer Devices –<br>Cosmetics, ink<br>cartridges, textiles | Lower cost, silent<br>operation, smaller<br>form factors.             | Commercially<br>possible, not game-<br>changing. |
| 8 – Convenience | Environmental Tech<br>– Passive sampling,<br>purification    | Reduces power for<br>remote sites, but<br>pumps are already<br>cheap. | Niche, low priority.                             |

## 6 Conclusions

- PMDs remain best for intake stabilization.
- PAP enables bulk transfer without helium pressurization or pumps.
- Calculations show realistic flows (0.2–1.0 mL/min) with  $\pm 2\text{--}3$  cmH<sub>2</sub>O oscillations at 1–3 Hz.
- Highest-value domains: microgravity propulsion, cryogenics, subsea energy, vibration-driven lubrication.
- Next steps: benchtop prototypes, cryogenic validation, CubeSat-scale tech demo.