

LICENSE TO GOVERN: AI-BASED EDUCATION, TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION INFRASTRUCTURE

By Lev Neymotin, PhD

ABSTRACT: LTG Training Infrastructure and Certificate Examples

In multiple discussions of my License to Govern (LTG) proposal, a critical concern was raised: who will develop the curricula, boot camp materials, and, most importantly, the testing and certification procedures for issuing the Certificate of Training in Governance (CTG)? This paper responds directly to that concern.

We propose that the key to LTG's training infrastructure lies in a general-purpose AI platform that can generate all training materials, testing protocols, grading rubrics, and certification frameworks with high transparency and reproducibility. Crucially, the prompts given to the AI will be designed by a diverse group of individuals representing the broad demographic, ideological, and experiential spectrum of the United States. This group will iterate collaboratively with the AI to refine content and eliminate bias.

This paper includes:

- An overview of how AI can design curricula, assessments, and certification systems.
- An explanation of the LTG infrastructure's components and workflow.
- Sample prompts and outputs using GPT-style AI.
- Mechanisms for transparency and public trust.
- An implementation roadmap.
- And now, examples of **three distinct CTGs** for municipal, state, and federal levels of governance—each tailored to the complexity and responsibility of the respective office.

1. PURPOSE OF AI IN THE LTG SYSTEM

1.1 The Need for Scale, Neutrality, and Flexibility

The LTG proposal envisions a national civic certification system for anyone seeking public office. This requires a system that is:

- Scalable: Capable of delivering high-quality civic education to potentially hundreds of thousands of public office candidates at all levels—from school board to the federal executive.
- Neutral: Free of partisan bias or ideological slant, rooted in constitutional principles and universal civic ethics.
- Flexible: Continuously updated to reflect legal, social, and technological changes without relying on slow bureaucratic processes.

Traditional academic institutions are not equipped to deliver such a service at scale, with consistency and transparency. A properly directed and audited AI system can meet this demand.

1.2 AI as a Nonpartisan Content Generator

General-purpose language models can serve as civic education engines by:

- Generating lesson plans on topics like constitutional law, public finance, administrative procedure, and ethics.
- Designing assessments that include a mix of formats: multiple choice, essays, scenario analysis, and policy simulations.
- Explaining controversial issues from multiple perspectives, including court decisions, policy debates, and historical examples.

- Adapting material to various learning levels—from entry-level municipal candidates to advanced federal appointees.

When guided by diverse human input and constrained by transparency requirements, AI can become a powerful force for inclusive civic competence.

2. ARCHITECTURE OF THE AI-DRIVEN LTG INFRASTRUCTURE

2.1 Core Components

Component	Description
Prompt Generation Board	A rotating group of 15–20 citizens representing different races, religions, regions, political affiliations, and professional backgrounds. They co-develop the questions and goals submitted to the AI.
AI Platform	A general-purpose large language model (LLM), such as OpenAI's GPT, Anthropic's Claude, or an open-source alternative, operating under strict access controls and ethical auditing.
Curriculum Engine	A sub-module that generates tiered civic training curricula tailored to specific levels of government (e.g., town councils, state agencies, congressional offices).
Testing Generator	Produces question banks for multiple formats (MCQ, essay, roleplay scenarios) along with model answers, difficulty tags, and content rationales.
Grading Rubric Builder	Translates test objectives into a standardized evaluation guide with clearly defined expectations for passing, merit-level performance, and failure.
Certification Printer	A digital credentialing system that issues verifiable, renewable CTG documents; integrates with local, state, and federal candidate registration systems.

2.2 Workflow (Expanded)

1. Define Scope: The Prompt Generation Board selects a topic (e.g., "Public Ethics for Local Office Holders").
2. Craft Prompt: The board collaboratively writes and refines a prompt to feed the AI. This ensures that the tone, complexity, and goals align with LTG standards.
3. AI Response: The AI generates:
 - A structured curriculum broken into learning modules
 - Assessment questions (multiple choice, essays, simulations)
 - Suggested grading standards
 - Sample CTG certificate templates and validation methods
4. Review and Revision: The board reviews the AI-generated material and requests revisions, if necessary, to ensure fairness and clarity.
5. Publication and Distribution: The approved curriculum and assessments are added to the LTG repository and published on a public-facing platform for access by candidates, educators, and the public.

3. EXAMPLE PROMPTS TO AI FOR LTG COMPONENTS

3.1 Curriculum Creation Prompt

Prompt Example: "Design a 4-week course module for local government officials focused on public budgeting. The tone should be neutral and educational. Divide the course into weekly topics, include readings, discussion questions, and a case study each week."

Expected Output:

- Week 1: Introduction to Municipal Finance
 - Key Concepts: Taxation, fees, bonds, budgeting timelines

- Reading: Intro to Public Finance; sample budget from a medium-size city
 - Discussion: What is a balanced budget? What tradeoffs are involved?
 - Case Study: Flint Water Crisis—financial mismanagement and accountability
- Week 2: Revenue and Taxation Sources
 - Local sales tax, property tax, intergovernmental transfers
 - Policy impacts on low-income vs. affluent neighborhoods
- Week 3: Budget Prioritization and Forecasting
- Week 4: Transparency, Audits, and Public Trust

3.2 Assessment Prompt

Prompt Example: “Generate five multiple-choice questions and two open-ended scenario-based questions to assess understanding of the U.S. Constitution. Ensure each question includes the correct answer and an explanation.”

AI Output Example:

1. What does the Commerce Clause empower Congress to do?
 - a. Levy taxes on states
 - b. Regulate trade between states ✓
 - c. Control international borders
 - d. Amend the Constitution *Explanation:* Article I, Section 8 grants Congress the power to regulate interstate commerce.
2. Scenario: A local school board bans a controversial book. What constitutional questions are raised? What legal steps can a citizen take?

3.3 Certification Criteria Prompt

Prompt Example: “Based on the above curriculum and test questions, propose a grading rubric to determine CTG certification. Include scoring scale, re-test policy, and appeal process.”

AI Output Example:

- Pass threshold: Minimum 80% overall score across all sections
- Merit threshold: 90%+ score includes public recognition (e.g., ‘Civic Merit’ label)
- Retake policy: Up to 3 attempts within 12 months
- Appeals: Written appeal reviewed by a 3-member board; decision within 30 days

4. BIAS CONTROL AND PUBLIC TRUST

4.1 The Diverse Prompt Generation Board

- Membership: Drawn from open applications and civic nominations; must represent diversity of age, region, ethnicity, political affiliation, gender, and professional background.
- Rotation: Terms limited to 1–2 years; board partially refreshed annually to maintain institutional memory and fresh perspectives.
- Decision-making: Consensus preferred, but supermajority (e.g., 75%) required to approve final AI prompts and outputs.

4.2 Transparency Tools

- Public Repository: Every prompt, draft, revision, and final product is stored and publicly viewable online.
- Version Control: All changes tracked with timestamps and author attributions.
- Feedback Channel: Citizens can submit anonymous comments, suggestions, or challenges to content, which must be addressed by the board.

4.3 AI Version Control

- Release Locking: Once a curriculum version is approved for CTG, it is locked and versioned to prevent unauthorized edits.
- Audit Trail: Full log of prompt revisions and content iterations published monthly.

- Rollbacks: Flawed modules can be retracted and replaced through transparent corrective votes.

5. IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR AI-BASED LTG SYSTEM

5.1 Phase 1: Pilot and Prototyping (Year 1)

- Development of Core Modules: Focus on three foundational areas—U.S. Constitution, Public Ethics, and Government Budgeting.
- Selection of Pilot Sites: Partner with three diverse municipalities for early deployment.
- User Testing: Volunteers engage with AI-generated content; feedback collected on clarity, fairness, and learning outcomes.

5.2 Phase 2: Expansion (Years 2–3)

- Scaling Content: Develop additional modules for state-level and federal-level topics such as emergency powers, judicial review, and federalism.
- Policy Advocacy: Introduce CTG requirements into local ordinances and state ethics laws.
- Technical Expansion: Optimize AI access and user experience across mobile, desktop, and offline platforms.

5.3 Phase 3: Integration and Refinement (Years 4–5)

- Credential Integration: Link CTG credentials to candidate registration databases, public voter information portals, and ethics disclosures.
 - Ongoing Updates: Use AI to continually refine and update course content in response to new laws, court decisions, and civic trends.
 - Endorsements: Seek recognition of LTG curricula by academic institutions and government ethics bodies.
-

7. EXAMPLES OF CTG CERTIFICATES

7.1 MUNICIPAL CTG (e.g., School Board, City Council)

Scope: Local governance fundamentals; nonpartisan civic leadership. **Topics Covered:**

- Local budgeting and tax structure basics
- Municipal ethics and transparency laws
- Overview of the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights
- Community engagement practices and public safety roles

Assessment Includes:

- 20 multiple choice questions (e.g., "What is a municipal ordinance?")
- 1 short scenario essay (e.g., "How would you respond to a public protest at a city hall meeting?")

Certification Language Example:

Certificate of Training in Governance (Municipal Level)

Awarded to: Jane Doe

For completing required instruction in local public finance, civic ethics, constitutional foundations, and municipal procedures, and passing the LTG Municipal Exam with distinction.

Valid for: 5 years | Issued by: LTG Civic Education Platform – Certified by City of Evanston

7.2 STATE CTG (e.g., State Legislature, Governor's Office)

Scope: Broader constitutional and fiscal understanding with administrative responsibility. **Topics Covered:**

- State constitutional law and separation of powers
- Budget cycles, bonds, and revenue forecasting
- Public education and healthcare policy fundamentals
- Emergency powers and public safety response

Assessment Includes:

- 30 multiple choice questions
- 2 short policy essays
- Budget balancing simulation

Certification Language Example:

Certificate of Training in Governance (State Level)

Awarded to: Michael Rivera

For completing LTG requirements in state constitutional law, budget policy, ethics, and administrative preparedness. Demonstrated readiness through written exams, policy simulation, and oral review.

Valid for: 5 years | Issued by: LTG State Education Consortium – Approved by CA Board of Civic Standards

7.3 FEDERAL CTG (e.g., U.S. Senate, House, Executive Appointments)

Scope: High-level training in national governance, legal boundaries, intergovernmental operations.

Topics Covered:

- U.S. Constitution, amendments, landmark cases
- Federal budget and appropriations process
- National security roles, treaty authority, and foreign affairs
- Administrative law and regulatory oversight

Assessment Includes:

- 50 multiple choice questions
- Case analysis essay (e.g., separation of powers under crisis)
- Crisis response simulation (e.g., natural disaster or cyberattack)

Certification Language Example:

Certificate of Training in Governance (Federal Level)

Awarded to: Amina Khan

For successful completion of intensive LTG federal curriculum including legislative process, constitutional interpretation, public finance, and interagency coordination. Certified by examination and real-time policy simulation.

Valid for: 5 years | Issued by: Federal Governance Training Board – Registered with National LTG Authority

8. CONCLUSION: FROM CONCEPT TO CREDENTIALS—AND THE ROLE OF AI

By adding clear and specific examples of CTG certification at every level of government, we bring the LTG proposal into focus—not just as an aspirational reform, but as a deployable, structured, and measurable credential system.

CTG certificates do not restrict candidacy; they elevate readiness. AI ensures scalability and equity. And by giving citizens visible, verifiable credentials, LTG brings back competence, transparency, and public trust to governance—precisely what the American republic needs today.

The idea of License to Govern is bold—but to implement it at scale, it must be paired with a delivery method just as bold: **AI-enabled civic education.**

AI platforms allow us to build a system that is:

- **Scalable:** Accessible to every citizen, anywhere, with minimal infrastructure cost.
- **Transparent:** Every word and decision traceable and open to scrutiny.

- **Adaptive:** Updated regularly to reflect evolving laws, norms, and governance realities.
- **Nonpartisan:** Built by consensus from across the American civic spectrum.

By combining AI with institutional oversight and democratic input, we can build a civic education system that is efficient, fair, and future-ready. We must equip our public servants with more than ambition—we must equip them with knowledge.

Let *License to Govern* be more than a motto. Let it become a framework for restoring civic accountability.

This position paper builds upon the previous work of Lev Neymotin, PhD, outlining the role of the AI in creating the LTG Infrastructure, and includes direct responses to operational concerns raised by civic stakeholders and reform advocates.